ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present ---The Hon'ble & The Hon'ble

Case No. <u>O.A. - 587 of 2014</u>

Anamitra De -Vs- The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
Date of order.	with signature	and dated signature
1	2	of parties when necessary 3
12		•
13	For the Applicant : Mr. Biswajit Tiwary,	
12.02.2018	Ld. Adv.	
	For the Respondents : Mr. S.N. Ray,	
	Ld. Adv.	
	The applicant has filed this application Under	
	Section 19 of the Administration Act, 1985 challenging the	
	letter dated March31, 2014 issued by the respondent no. 2	
	in favour of the applicant, by which the reasons for refusal	
	to give compassionate appointment to the applicant were	
	communicated.	
	The father of the applicant, Samirendra Nath Dey	
	died on June 4, 1999, while he was working as Upper	
	Division Clerk in the Police office at Malda. The	
	applicant was minor at the time of death of his father. On	
	February 16, 2008, the applicant submitted application for	
	compassionate appointment before the respondent no. 2	
	after attaining majority. Ultimately, the said application	
	for compassionate appointment was rejected and the	
	reasons for rejection were communicated to the applicant	
	by the respondent no. 2 on March 31, 2014, which is under	
	by the respondent no. 2 on March 31, 2014, which is under	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Anamitra De

.....

Vs.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Case No. <u>O.A. – 587 of 2014</u>

.....

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date
Date of order.	with signature	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	2	3
	challenged in present original application.	
	It appears from the materials on record that the	
	mother of the applicant was Group-D employee at the time	
	of death of the father of the applicant. The application for	
	compassionate appointment was submitted by the	
	applicant almost after 8 (eight) years of death of the father	
	of the applicant. On consideration of the letter dated	
	March 31, 2014 issued by the respondent no. 2 (annexed O	
	to the original application), we find that monthly income	
	of the family of the deceased employee was more than	
	50,000/- per month, which exceeds 90% of gross monthly	
	salary of the deceased employee and which is more than	
	minimum gross salary of Group –D employee. It is crystal	
	clear from the fact of the present case that the applicant	
	waited almost 8 (eight) years for attainment of majority	
	for submission of application for compassionate	
	appointment as there was no immediate financial crisis in	
	the family of the deceased employee, particularly when the	
	mother of the applicant was Group-D employee under	
	Government of West Bengal.	
	In view of our above findings, we do not find any	
	illegality in rejection of prayer of the applicant for	
	waited almost 8 (eight) years for attainment of majority for submission of application for compassionate appointment as there was no immediate financial crisis in the family of the deceased employee, particularly when the mother of the applicant was Group-D employee under Government of West Bengal. In view of our above findings, we do not find any	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Case No. <u>O.A. – 587 of 2014</u>

Anamitra De

.....

Vs.

STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3 1 2 Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3 compassionate appointment as communicated to the applicant by the respondent no. 2 by issuing letter dated march 31, 2014. As a result, the applicant is dismissed. Plain copy to both parties. Plain copy to both parties. (S.K.DAS) (R.K.BAG) Member (J) Member (J)				
1 2 of parties when necessary 1 2 3 2 3 3 compassionate appointment as communicated to the applicant by the respondent no. 2 by issuing letter dated march 31, 2014. As a result, the applicant is dismissed. Plain copy to both parties. Image: Compassion of parties of part		Order of the Tribunal		Office action with date
1 3 compassionate appointment as communicated to the applicant by the respondent no. 2 by issuing letter dated march 31, 2014. As a result, the applicant is dismissed. Plain copy to both parties. 1 (S.K.DAS) (R.K.BAG) Member (A) Member (J)	Date of order.	with signature		
compassionate appointment as communicated to the applicant by the respondent no. 2 by issuing letter dated march 31, 2014. As a result, the applicant is dismissed. Plain copy to both parties.(S.K.DAS)(R.K.BAG) Member (A)	1	2		
march 31, 2014. As a result, the applicant is dismissed. Plain copy to both parties. (S.K.DAS) (R.K.BAG) Member (A) Member (J)		compassionate appointment as comm	5	
Plain copy to both parties. (S.K.DAS) (R.K.BAG) Member (A) Member (J)		applicant by the respondent no. 2 by is		
(S.K.DAS) (R.K.BAG) Member (A) Member (J)		march 31, 2014. As a result, the applican		
Member (A) Member (J)		Plain copy to both parties.		
Member (A) Member (J)				
Member (A) Member (J)		(S.K.DAS)	(R.K.BAG)	
я.s				
HS				
ЯLS				
	H.S			